

**Entire and meromorphic functions**  
**Problem set 9 (due Tuesday, January 23)**

Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$  and  $\Lambda = \{m + n\tau : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be as in Problem set 8 (and Problem 3 of Problem set 6).

A meromorphic function  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying  $f(z+1) = f(z)$  and  $f(z+i\tau) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and hence  $f(z+\omega) = f(z)$  for all  $\omega \in \Lambda$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is called doubly-periodic or elliptic. A set of the form  $\{z_0 + s + t\tau : 0 \leq s < 1, 0 \leq t < 1\}$  with  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is then called a period parallelogram (for  $f$ ).

1. Let  $f$  be an elliptic function and  $P$  a period parallelogram. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be the poles of  $f$  in  $P$ . Show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \text{res}(f, p_j) = 0.$$

Here  $\text{res}(f, p_j)$  denotes the residue of  $f$  at  $p_j$ .

2. Let  $f$  be an elliptic function,  $P$  a period parallelogram and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Show that, counting multiplicities, the number of  $a$ -points of  $f$  in  $P$  is equal to the number of poles of  $f$  in  $P$ .

**Remark.** The number of poles in a period parallelogram is also called the order of  $f$ . However, it should not be confused with the order of growth  $\rho(f)$ .

3. Let  $\wp$  be the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function corresponding to  $\Lambda$ . Show that

$$\wp'(1/2) = \wp'(\tau/2) = \wp'((1+\tau)/2) = 0.$$

Put

$$e_1 = \wp(1/2), \quad e_2 = \wp((1+\tau)/2) \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = \wp(\tau/2).$$

Use Problem 2 to show that  $e_j \neq e_k$  for  $j \neq k$ .

Show also that

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4(\wp(z) - e_1)(\wp(z) - e_2)(\wp(z) - e_3).$$

Compare Problem 4 of Problem set 8.

4. Show that  $\wp$  has four totally ramified values.

**Remark.** In the theory of elliptic functions one usually considers meromorphic functions  $f$  satisfying  $f(z+\omega_1) = f(z+\omega_2) = f(z)$  where  $\tau := \text{Im}(\omega_2/\omega_1)$  satisfies  $\text{Im } \tau > 1$ , and correspondingly  $\Lambda = \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We have restricted to the special case  $\omega_1 = 1$ , but all results given extend to the general case in an obvious way. In fact, the general case can be reduced to the special case by considering  $f(z\omega_1)$  instead of  $f(z)$ .