

# An example concerning iteration and factorization of rational functions

Walter Bergweiler

A rational function  $R$  is said to be prime if in any factorization  $R = S \circ T$  one of the two factors  $S$  and  $T$  is a linear transformation. It is not difficult to show that any rational function can be factored into prime functions, that is, given a rational function  $R$  there exist an integer  $n$  and prime rational functions  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$  such that  $R = R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_n$ . Ritt [6] proved that if a polynomial  $P$  has two factorizations  $P = P_1 \circ P_2 \circ \dots \circ P_n$  and  $P = Q_1 \circ Q_2 \circ \dots \circ Q_m$ , where the  $P_i$  and  $Q_j$  are prime, then  $m = n$ . In fact, he proved that there are even closer relations between the two factorizations (cf. [6], see also [1, Appendix A]). Ritt [6, p. 53] also claimed that no analogue holds for the factorization of rational functions. However, he did not give a proof of this claim but stated that he would return to this matter in a later communication. According to Gross (cf. [2, p. 50], [3, p. 53]), however, there is no further discussion of this assertion in Ritt's subsequent publications. This led Gross (cf. [2, p. 50], [3, Conjecture 1]) to the question, whether Ritt's claim is correct.

In this note, we will show that this is in fact the case. More precisely, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem.** *There exists a rational function which has two factorizations into prime functions each having a different number of factors.*

To prove the theorem, we consider the  $\wp$ -function of Weierstraß with primitive periods 1 and  $i\sqrt{8}$ . For the notation used and the properties of the  $\wp$ -function needed in the sequel, see e. g. [4]. It is well known that

$\wp(2u) = R(\wp(u))$  where

$$R(z) = \frac{16z^4 + 8g_2z^2 + (g_2)^2 + 32g_3}{16(4z^3 - g_2z - g_3)}.$$

Similarly, we have  $\wp(i\sqrt{8}u) = S(\wp(u))$  and  $\wp(8u) = T(\wp(u))$  for certain rational functions  $S$  and  $T$ . Since  $\wp$  is an even function, we deduce that  $R(R(R(\wp(u)))) = \wp(8u) = \wp(-8u) = S(S(\wp(u)))$ . It follows that  $T = R \circ R \circ R = S \circ S$ . Suppose now that  $R$  is prime. This implies that  $T$  has a factorization with three prime factors. On the other hand, the representation  $T = S \circ S$  leads to a factorization with an even number of factors. Hence  $T$  has the desired properties.

It remains to prove that  $R$  is prime. To do this, we assume that there exist rational functions  $P$  and  $Q$  of degree 2 such that  $R = P \circ Q$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P(0) = P(\infty) = \infty$ , since otherwise we may consider  $P \circ L$  and  $L^{-1} \circ Q$  instead of  $P$  and  $Q$ , where  $L$  is a suitable linear transformation. It follows that  $P(z) = az + b + c/z$  for suitable constants  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ . As usual we denote by  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$  the zeros of  $4z^3 - g_2z - g_3$ . Since  $Q$  maps these zeros and  $\infty$  either to 0 or to  $\infty$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $Q(z) = (z - e_1)(z - e_2)/(z - e_3)$ . We now consider the equation  $R(z) = P(Q(z))$  where we express  $g_2$ ,  $g_3$ , and  $e_3$  in terms of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $z^4$ ,  $z^3$ , and  $z^2$  in the numerator we find that  $a = 1/4$ ,  $b = (e_1 + e_2)/2$ , and  $c = (e_1 - e_2)^2/4$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $z$  and the constant terms, we deduce that  $e_1e_2(e_1 + e_2) = 0$ , that is,  $g_3 = 0$ .

Now we consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(h) = 64\pi^6 \left( \frac{1}{216} - \frac{7}{3} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^5 h^{2r}}{1 - h^{2r}} \right).$$

It is well known [4, p. 210] that  $g_3 = f(e^{-\pi\sqrt{8}})$ . On the other hand, we have  $f(e^{-\pi}) = 0$ , since this value corresponds to the  $g_3$ -value of the  $\wp$ -function with periods 1 and  $i$ . Since  $f(h)$  is decreasing, we see that  $g_3 > 0$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

It seems likely to me that the examples that Ritt had had in mind were of a similar nature. In fact, in [6, p. 53] he explicitly mentioned the rational functions that arise from the multiplication formulas of elliptic functions, and he studied these functions in detail in some of his other papers, cf. e. g. [5], [7], and [8].

## References

- [1] F. Gross, *Factorization of meromorphic functions*, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1972.
- [2] F. Gross, On factorization theory of meromorphic functions, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* 24, 47-60 (1975).
- [3] F. Gross, Factorization of meromorphic functions and some open problems, in *Complex Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 599, pp. 50-67, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1976.
- [4] A. Hurwitz and R. Courant, *Vorlesungen über allgemeine Funktionentheorie und elliptische Funktionen*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, Springer, Berlin - Göttingen - Heidelberg 1964.
- [5] J. F. Ritt, Periodic functions with a multiplication theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23, 16-25 (1922).
- [6] J. F. Ritt, Prime and composite polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23, 51-66 (1922).
- [7] J. F. Ritt, Permutable rational functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25, 399-448 (1923).
- [8] J. F. Ritt, Equivalent rational substitutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26, 221-229 (1924).